## Tahan 1 (Artikel yang Dikirim Pertama Kali)

# **Implementation of the Failure Mode And Effects Analysis** (FMEA) Method to Determine Project Risk Priority

## S Atin<sup>1</sup>, R Lubis<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1,2</sup>, Program Studi Teknik Informatika, Universitas Komputer Indonesia, Indonesia

E-mail: sufaatin@email.unikom.ac.id

**Abstact.** One of the successes and failures in a project is influenced by the existence of uncertain project risks. This uncertainty can be anticipated by using project risk management. Understanding and understanding project risks can make the project team recognize project risks and can anticipate actions and determine risk priorities that must be taken if project risks arise. Project priority risk assessment includes the act of understanding, identifying, controlling and evaluating emerging risks. One method that can be used in determining the importance of project risk is the Failure Mode and Effects Analysis (FMEA). FMEA is a method that can identify and develop corrective actions that can be taken to prevent or reduce the chance of potential failures caused by project risks that arise that begin with the identification of severity, occurance, detection, and the end result in the form of a Risk Priority Number. The purpose of this research is to determine the priorities of risks that might occur so that the implementation of project work can run smoothly so that later it can be used as a basis for making decisions in handling the project being worked on.

### 1. Introduction

The project is a combination of labor (human), material, equipment, facilities, and supporting services (capital / cost) in a temporary organization that is used to achieve the goals and objectives that have been determined [1]. Project risk is the cumulative effect of the chance of an uncertain event that affects project goals and objectives that can result in late completion of the project [2]. Risk refers to the uncertainty and severity of events and results of an activity in connection with something that humans value [3]. Identification of risks in the project will be divided into several project risks [4]. To identify and take action on the project risks that arise can use several methods, one of the methods used is Failure Mode and Effects Analysis (FMEA) [5]. FMEA is a structured method that can be used to identify, prioritize failure modes and then prevent them as much as possible and be able to trace the sources of the causes of failure [6]. FMEA is an analytical technique that combines technology and experience in identifying the failure of the production process and planning to prevent it from happening again [7].

FMEA was originally created by Aerospace Industry in the 1960s. FMEA began to be used by Ford in the 1980s, AIAG (Automotive Industry Action Group) and the American Society for Quality Control (ASQC) set it as a standard in 1993. Currently FMEA is one of the core tools in ISO / TS 16949: 2022 (Technical Specifications for Automotive Industry) [8]. Previous studies that used FMEA were research conducted by Teng and Ho in 1996 [7], Santoso in 2007 [9] and Ahsen in 2008 [10] FMEA was used to identify failures in the production process that resulted in risks that appeared to be proven failures the production process does not repeat itself.

The purpose of this research is to apply the FMEA method to find out the risks that arise in the project and to determine which risk priorities must be addressed first so that the risks that arise in the project can be immediately addressed and the project can be completed on time.

## 2. Method

The research methodology in this study uses descriptive methods. Descriptive method is a method used to find facts with the right interpretation and try to describe a symptom, events that occur at the moment or an actual problem [11]. The research methodology in this study begins with a review of the FMEA literature study together with secondary data collection. The next step is to identify risks, followed by calculating the severity, occurance and detection. After that, calculating the value of the Risk Priority Number (RPN) is continued to calculate the critical value and the last is managing risks that are used to assist the Project Responsible Person in identifying project risks at the beginning of the project implementation so that if these risks arise when project implementation can be dealt with quickly [12].

## 3. Results and Discussion

## 3.1 Secondary Data Collection

The data used in this research is the installation of paving in the Mandala project. In this project, the project implementation time is 200 days starting from December 27, 2018 until July 15, 2019. There are five steps in determining risk priorities using FMEA [12]. The step starts from identifying risks, then continues with calculating the severity, occurance and detection. After that, calculate the value of the Risk Priority Number (RPN) and proceed to calculate the critical value and the last is risk management.

## 3.2 Project Risk Identification

Risk identification process aims to create a list of risks that have occurred in the previous project work and that will probably occur in the next projects. This risk identification process is carried out using an interview approach with the person in charge of the project. The identification of risks in this study can be seen in table 1.

No.	Name of Activities	<b>Risk Code</b>	Obstacle
		R1	Bad weather
		R2	Material prices have risen
1	Land Leveling and Compaction Land Work	R3	Material delivery delays
1.	With Tamping Rammer	R4	Damage to project tools
		R5	Labor is absent
		R6	Labor accident
		R1	Bad weather
		R2	Material prices have risen
2	Paving Alignment Work with Ash Stone and	R3	Material delivery delays
۷.	Paving Block Installation	R4	Damage to project tools
		R5	Labor is absent
		R6	Labor accident
		R1	Bad weather
		R2	Material prices have risen
3.	Casting filler work	R3	Material delivery delays
		R4	Damage to project tools
		R5	Labor is absent

 Table 1. Identification of Project Risk

		R6	Labor accident
		R1	Bad weather
		R2	Material prices have risen
4	Paving Block Leveling Work	R3	Material delivery delays
4.	With a Baby Roller	R4	Damage to project tools
		R5	Labor is absent
		R6	Labor accident

## 3.3 Determining the Severity Value, Occurance Value and Detection Value

Determine the severity, occurance and detection values based on the identification of risks that have been carried out in the previous stage. The severity, occurance and detection values were obtained from interviews with the project managers and can be seen in table 2.

Dielz	Pisk Dicks Savarity Occurance Date:					
Codo	RISKS	Valua	Voluo	Value		
LandLe	veling and Compaction I and Work With Tamping Rammer	value	value	value		
D1	Rad weather	5	7	5		
	Material prices have risen	2	5	3		
R2 D3	Material delivery delays	2	3	4		
R.3 D.4	Damage to project tools	5	4	5		
R4 D5	Labor is absent	2	4	7		
RJ D6	Labor assident	2	2	7		
RO	Labor accident	3	2	1		
Paving .	Alignment work with Ash Stone and Paving Block Installation	5	7	5		
KI D2	Bad weather	5	1	5		
R2	Material prices have risen	3	6	4		
R3	Material delivery delays	4	4	3		
R4	Damage to project tools	6	4	5		
R5	Labor is absent	4	2	6		
R6	Labor accident	3	2	7		
Casting	Filler Work	1				
R1	Bad weather	5	7	5		
R2	Material prices have risen	3	6	4		
R3	Material delivery delays	4	4	4		
R4	Damage to project tools	3	3	5		
R5	Labor is absent	3	4	3		
R6	Labor accident	3	3	6		
Paving	Block Leveling Work With a Baby Roller					
R1	Bad weather	4	7	5		
R2	Material prices have risen	1	5	4		
R3	Material delivery delays	1	3	4		
R4	Damage to project tools	4	3	4		
R5	Labor is absent	3	5	3		
R6	Labor accident	1	3	3		

## Table 2. Value of Severity, Event and Detection

3.4 Determine the Value of The Risk Priority Number (RPN)

The next step is to calculate the risk priority number (RPN) is an overall danger score calculated by multiplying Severity, Occurance, and Detection. Higher RPNs are design priorities. [12].

RPN = Severity Value X Occurance Value X Detection Value ......(1) The results of the calculation of the RPN value can be seen in the following table 3.

Risk Code	Risks	Severity Value	Occurance Value	Detection Value	RPN Value
Land Le	eveling and Compaction Land Work With Tamping Ramn	ner			
R1	Bad weather	5	7	5	175
R2	Material prices have risen	2	5	4	40
R3	Material delivery delays	3	4	5	60
R4	Damage to project tools	6	4	6	144
R5	Labor is absent	3	2	7	42

## Table 3. Hasil Perhitungan Nilai RPN

R6	Labor accident	3	2	7	42
Paving	Alignment Work with Ash Stone and Paving Block Instal	lation			
R1	Bad weather	5	7	5	175
R2	Material prices have risen	3	6	4	72
R3	Material delivery delays	4	4	3	48
R4	Damage to project tools	6	4	5	120
R5	Labor is absent	4	2	6	48
R6	Labor accident	3	2	7	42
Casting	Filler Work				
R1	Bad weather	5	7	5	175
R2	Material prices have risen	3	6	4	72
R3	Material delivery delays	4	4	4	64
R4	Damage to project tools	3	3	5	45
R5	Labor is absent	3	4	3	36
R6	Labor accident	3	3	6	54
Paving	Block Leveling Work With a Baby Roller				
R1	Bad weather	4	7	5	140
R2	Material prices have risen	1	5	4	20
R3	Material delivery delays	1	3	4	12
R4	Damage to project tools	4	3	4	48
R5	Labor is absent	3	5	3	45
R6	Labor accident	1	3	3	9

## 3.5 Determine Critical Value

After getting the RPN value for each risk, the next step is to calculate the Critical Value. This critical value is used to determine what risks are included in the high risk category. Risks included in the high category is a risk that has an RPN value greater or equal to the critical value (RPN value kritis critical value). Critical values are calculated using the formula: Total RPN / Total risk [13]. Calculation of critical values in this study can be seen in table 4.

Risk Code	Risks	RPN Value	Critical Value	<b>Risk Category</b>
Land Le	veling and Compaction Land Work With Ta	mping Rammer		
R1	Bad weather	175		High
R2	Material prices have risen	40		Low
R3	Material delivery delays	60	$92.92 \sim 91$	Low
R4	Damage to project tools	144	03.03 ~ 04	High
R5	Labor is absent	42		Low
R6	Labor accident	42		Low
Paving A	Alignment Work with Ash Stone and Paving	Block Installation		
R1	Bad weather	175		High
R2	Material prices have risen	72	 84 17 ≈ 85	Low
R3	Material delivery delays	48	$94.17 \sim 95$	Low
R4	Damage to project tools	120	84.17 ~ 85	High
R5	Labor is absent	48		Low
R6	Labor accident	42		Low
Casting	Filler Work			
R1	Bad weather	175		High
R2	Material prices have risen	72		Low
R3	Material delivery delays	64	$7122 \sim 75$	Low
R4	Damage to project tools	45	/4.55 ~ /5	Low
R5	Labor is absent	36		Low
R6	Labor accident	54		Low
Paving H	Block Leveling Work With a Baby Roller			
R1	Bad weather	140		High
R2	Material prices have risen	20		Low
R3	Material delivery delays	12	$45.67\approx 46$	Low
R4	Damage to project tools	48		High
R5	Labor is absent	45		Low

R6	Labor accident	9	Low

From Table 4, it can be seen that there are 2 risk categories, namely High and Low, which will be used by the person in charge of the project to find out which risks must be addressed first.

## 3.5 Risk Handling

Table 4 can be used as a reference for the person in charge of the project in handling risks that arise in the project. The risk management in the project in this study can be seen in table 5.

	Table 5. Risk Handling Scenario						
Risk	Pisks	RPN	Risk	<b>Risk Handling Action</b>			
Code	i Nijkj	Value	Category	Kisk Handling Action			
Land Le	eveling and Compaction Land Work With Tar	nping Rai	mmer				
R1	Bad weather	175	High	Increase worked hours (overtime)			
R2	Material prices have risen	40	Low	Agreement with suppliers regarding the			
		70	Low	prices of materials			
R3	Material delivery delays	60	Low	Communicating with suppliers of materials			
R4	Damage to project tools	144	High	Immediately replace damaged equipment and increase supervision of work equipment			
R5	Labor is absent	42	Low	Replacing with other workers			
R6	Labor accident	42	Low	Directing the workforce to prioritize safety			
Paving A	Alignment Work with Ash Stone and Paving	Block Ins	tallation				
R1	Bad weather	175	High	Increase worked hours (overtime)			
R2	Material prices have risen	70	Low	Agreement with suppliers regarding the			
		12	Low	prices of materials			
R3	Material delivery delays	48	Low	Communicating with suppliers of materials			
R4	Damage to project tools	120	High	Immediately replace damaged equipment and			
		120	Ingn	increase supervision of work equipment			
R5	Labor is absent	48	Low	Replacing with other workers			
R6	Labor accident	42	Low	Directing the workforce to prioritize safety			
Casting	Filler Work						
R1	Bad weather	175	High	Increase worked hours (overtime)			
R2	Material prices have risen	72	Low	Agreement with suppliers regarding the			
		12	LOW	prices of materials			
R3	Material delivery delays	64	Low	Communicating with suppliers of materials			
R4	Damage to project tools	15	Low	Immediately replace damaged equipment and			
		43	Low	increase supervision of work equipment			
R5	Labor is absent	36	Low	Replacing with other workers			
R6	Labor accident	54	Low	Directing the workforce to prioritize safety			
Paving l	Block Leveling Work With a Baby Roller						
R1	Bad weather	140	High	Increase worked hours (overtime)			
R2	Material prices have risen	20	Low	Agreement with suppliers regarding the			
		20	Low	prices of materials			
R3	Material delivery delays	12	Low	Communicating with suppliers of materials			
R4	Damage to project tools	48	High	Immediately replace damaged equipment and			
		40	Ingii	increase supervision of work equipment			
R5	Labor is absent	45	Low	Replacing with other workers			
R6	Labor accident	9	Low	Directing the workforce to prioritize safety			

## 4. Conclusion

The conclusion of this research is that FMEA can be used to help the project person in charge, to determine the risks, risk categories and treatment measures so that if risks arise in the project, they can be overcome immediately and the project can be completed on time.

## References

- Atin, S., Lubis, R., 2019, November. "Implementation Of Critical Path Method In Project Planning And scheduling". In *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*. 662 022031, IOP Publishing.
- [2] Sufa'atin, 2017, Juni. "Implementasi Probability Impact Matriks (PIM) Untuk Mengidentifikasi Kemungkinan Dan Dampak Risiko Proyek". Ultima InfoSys Vol VIII, No.1 (2017).
- [3] Aven, T., & Renn, O. (2009). On risk defined as an event where the outcome is uncertain. Journal of Risk Research, 1-11
- [4] Qazi, A. (2016). Project Complexity and risk Management (ProCRiM) : Toward modelling project complexity driven risk paths in construction projects. International Journal of Project Management, 1183 - 1198
- [5] Teng, S, H, Ho, S, Y. 1996. Failure mode and effects analysis: An integrated approach for product design and process control. International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management. 13(5):8-26
- [6] L., S. Lipol, "Risk Analysis Method : FMEA in the Organizations", International Journal of Basic & Applied Science IJBAS, Vol.XI, no.5 pp. 49-57, 2011.
- [7] Teng, S, H, Ho, S, Y. 1996. Failure mode and effects analysis : An integrated approach for product design and process control. International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management. 13(5):8-26.
- [8] E Sari, "Analisis Resiko Proyek Pada Pekerjaan Jembatan Sidomukti-Kadu Di Majalengka Dengan Metode FMEA dan Decision Tree", Jurnal J-Ensitec: Vol 03|No.01, November 2016.
- [9] Santoso,S. 2007. Seri solusi Bisnis TI : total Quality Management (TQM) dan Six Sigma. Elex Media Komputindo, Jakarta.
- [10] Ahsen, A, V. 2008. Cost-Oriented failure mode and effects analysis. International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management. 25(5):466-476.
- [9] F.L, Whitney. 1960. The Elements of Resert. Asian Eds. Osaka : Overseas Book Co.
- [10] Carbone, T.A., & Tippett, D.D. (2004). Project Risk Management Using the Project Risk FMEA. Engineering Management Journal, 28-35.
- [11] MC Dermott, R.E. Mikulak, R.J. dan Beuregard, M.R. The Basic of FMEA, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed, Newyork, Taylor and Francis Group, 2009.

Revisi 1

## **Implementation of the Failure Mode And Effects Analysis** (FMEA) Method to Determine Project Risk Priority

#### S Atin<sup>1</sup>, R Lubis<sup>2</sup>

1.2. Program Studi Teknik Informatika, Universitas Komputer Indonesia, Indonesia

E-mail: sufaatin@email.unikom.ac.id

Abstact. One of the successes and failures in a project is influenced by the existence of uncertain project risks. This uncertainty can be anticipated by using project risk management. Understanding and understanding project risks can make the project team recognize project risks and can anticipate actions and determine risk priorities that must be taken if project risks arise. Project priority risk assessment includes the act of understanding, identifying, controlling and evaluating emerging risks. One method that can be used in determining the importance of project risk is the Failure Mode and Effects Analysis (FMEA). FMEA is a method that can identify and develop corrective actions that can be taken to prevent or reduce the chance of potential failures caused by project risks that arise that begin with the identification of severity, occurance, detection, and the end result in the form of a Risk Priority Number. The purpose of this research is to determine the priorities of risks that might occur so that the implementation of project work can run smoothly so that later it can be used as a basis for making decisions in handling the project being worked on.

#### 1. Introduction

The project is a combination of labor (human), material, equipment, facilities, and supporting services (capital / cost) in a temporary organization that is used to achieve the goals and objectives that have been determined [1]. Project risk is the cumulative effect of the chance of an uncertain event that affects project goals and objectives that can result in late completion of the project [2]. Risk refers to the uncertainty and severity of events and results of an activity in connection with something that humans value [3]. Identification of risks in the project will be divided into several project risks [4]. To identify and take action on the project risks that arise can use several methods, one of the methods used is Failure Mode and Effects Analysis (FMEA) [5]. FMEA is a structured method that can be used to identify, prioritize failure modes and then prevent them as much as possible and be able to trace the sources of the causes of failure [6]. FMEA is an analytical technique that combines technology and experience in identifying the failure of the production process and planning to prevent it from happening again [7].

Dikomentari [A1]: delete

**Dikomentari [A2]:** tambah 1 kalimat metode, 2 kalimat hasil yang didapat, tambah 1 kalimat diskusi, tambah 1 kalimat kesimpulan FMEA was originally created by Aerospace Industry in the 1960s. FMEA began to be used by Ford in the 1980s, AIAG (Automotive Industry Action Group) and the American Society for Quality Control (ASQC) set it as a standard in 1993. Currently FMEA is one of the core tools in ISO / TS 16949: 2022 (Technical Specifications for Automotive Industry) [8]. Previous studies that used FMEA were research conducted by Teng and Ho in 1996 [7], Santoso in 2007 [9] and Ahsen in 2008 [10] FMEA was used to identify failures in the production process that resulted in risks that appeared to be proven failures the production process does not repeat itself.

The purpose of this research is to apply the FMEA method to find out the risks that arise in the project and to determine which risk priorities must be addressed first so that the risks that arise in the project can be immediately addressed and the project can be completed on time.

#### 2. Method

The research methodology in this study uses descriptive methods. Descriptive method is a method used to find facts with the right interpretation and try to describe a symptom, events that occur at the moment or an actual problem [11]. The research methodology in this study begins with a review of the FMEA literature study together with secondary data collection. The next step is to identify risks, followed by calculating the severity, occurance and detection. After that, calculating the value of the Risk Priority Number (RPN) is continued to calculate the critical value and the last is managing risks that are used to assist the Project Responsible Person in identifying project risks at the beginning of the project implementation so that if these risks arise when project implementation can be dealt with quickly [12].

#### 3. Results and Discussion

#### 3.1 Secondary Data Collection

The data used in this research is the installation of paving in the Mandala project. In this project, the project implementation time is 200 days starting from December 27, 2018 until July 15, 2019. There are five steps in determining risk priorities using FMEA [12]. The step starts from identifying risks, then continues with calculating the severity, occurance and detection. After that, calculate the value of the Risk Priority Number (RPN) and proceed to calculate the critical value and the last is risk management.

#### 3.2 Project Risk Identification

Risk identification process aims to create a list of risks that have occurred in the previous project work and that will probably occur in the next projects. This risk identification process is carried out using an interview approach with the person in charge of the project. The identification of risks in this study can be seen in table 1.

	Table 1. Identific	ation of Floject	NISK
No.	Name of Activities	Risk Code	Obstacle
		R1	Bad weather
		R2	Material prices have risen
1	Land Leveling and Compaction Land Work	R3	Material delivery delays
1.	With Tamping Rammer	R4	Damage to project tools
		R5	Labor is absent
		R6	Labor accident
		R1	Bad weather
		R2	Material prices have risen
2	Paving Alignment Work with Ash Stone and	R3	Material delivery delays
2.	Paving Block Installation	R4	Damage to project tools
		R5	Labor is absent
		R6	Labor accident
		R1	Bad weather
		R2	Material prices have risen
3.	Casting filler work	R3	Material delivery delays
	-	R4	Damage to project tools
		R5	Labor is absent

Table 1. Identification of Project Risk

		R6	Labor accident
		R1	Bad weather
		R2	Material prices have risen
4	Paving Block Leveling Work	R3	Material delivery delays
4.	With a Baby Roller	R4	Damage to project tools
		R5	Labor is absent
		R6	Labor accident

3.3 Determining the Severity Value, Occurance Value and Detection Value

Determine the severity, occurance and detection values based on the identification of risks that have been carried out in the previous stage. The severity, occurance and detection values were obtained from interviews with the project managers and can be seen in table 2.

		Value	Value	Detection Value
Land Lev	veling and Compaction Land Work With Tamping Rai	mmer		
R1	Bad weather	5	7	5
R2	Material prices have risen	2	5	4
R3	Material delivery delays	3	4	5
R4	Damage to project tools	6	4	6
R5	Labor is absent	3	2	7
R6	Labor accident	3	2	7
Paving A	lignment Work with Ash Stone and Paving Block Inst	tallation		
R1	Bad weather	5	7	5
R2	Material prices have risen	3	6	4
R3	Material delivery delays	4	4	3
R4	Damage to project tools	6	4	5
R5	Labor is absent	4	2	6
R6	Labor accident	3	2	7
Casting F	Filler Work			
R1	Bad weather	5	7	5
R2	Material prices have risen	3	6	4
R3	Material delivery delays	4	4	4
R4	Damage to project tools	3	3	5
R5	Labor is absent	3	4	3
R6	Labor accident	3	3	6

Damage to project tools Labor is absent R5 R6 Labor accident

Material prices have risen Material delivery delays

R2

R3

R4

#### 3.4 Determine the Value of The Risk Priority Number (RPN)

The next step is to calculate the risk priority number (RPN) is an overall danger score calculated by multiplying Severity, Occurance, and Detection. Higher RPNs are design priorities. [12].

4

RPN = Severity Value X Occurance Value X Detection Value ......(1) The results of the calculation of the RPN value can be seen in the following table 3.

Table 3. Hasil Perhitungan Nilai RPN

Risk	Risks	Severity	Occurance	Detection	RPN
Code		Value	Value	Value	Value
Land Le	eveling and Compaction Land Work With Tamping Ramm	ner			
R1	Bad weather	5	7	5	175
R2	Material prices have risen	2	5	4	40
R3	Material delivery delays	3	4	5	60
R4	Damage to project tools	6	4	6	144
R5	Labor is absent	3	2	7	42

[		-	-	-	
R6	Labor accident	3	2	7	42
Paving	Alignment Work with Ash Stone and Paving Block Instal	llation			
R1	Bad weather	5	7	5	175
R2	Material prices have risen	3	6	4	72
R3	Material delivery delays	4	4	3	48
R4	Damage to project tools	6	4	5	120
R5	Labor is absent	4	2	6	48
R6	Labor accident	3	2	7	42
Casting	Filler Work				
R1	Bad weather	5	7	5	175
R2	Material prices have risen	3	6	4	72
R3	Material delivery delays	4	4	4	64
R4	Damage to project tools	3	3	5	45
R5	Labor is absent	3	4	3	36
R6	Labor accident	3	3	6	54
Paving	Block Leveling Work With a Baby Roller				
R1	Bad weather	4	7	5	140
R2	Material prices have risen	1	5	4	20
R3	Material delivery delays	1	3	4	12
R4	Damage to project tools	4	3	4	48
R5	Labor is absent	3	5	3	45
R6	Labor accident	1	3	3	9

#### 3.5 Determine Critical Value

After getting the RPN value for each risk, the next step is to calculate the Critical Value. This critical value is used to determine what risks are included in the high risk category. Risks included in the high category is a risk that has an RPN value greater or equal to the critical value (RPN value kritis critical value). Critical values are calculated using the formula: Total RPN / Total risk [13]. Calculation of critical values in this study can be seen in table 4.

Table 4. Critical Value and Risk Categories						
Risk Code	Risks	RPN Value	Critical Value	<b>Risk Category</b>		
Land Leveling and Compaction Land Work With Tamping Rammer						
R1	Bad weather	175		High		
R2	Material prices have risen	40		Low		
R3	Material delivery delays	60	$92.92 \sim 91$	Low		
R4	Damage to project tools	144	03.03 ~ 04	High		
R5	Labor is absent	42		Low		
R6	Labor accident	42		Low		
Paving A	Alignment Work with Ash Stone and Paving Block Ins	tallation				
R1	Bad weather	175		High		
R2	Material prices have risen	72		Low		
R3	Material delivery delays	48	94 17 ~ 95	Low		
R4	Damage to project tools	120	84.17 ~ 85	High		
R5	Labor is absent	48		Low		
R6	Labor accident	42		Low		
Casting	Filler Work					
R1	Bad weather	175		High		
R2	Material prices have risen	72		Low		
R3	Material delivery delays	64	$71.22 \sim 75$	Low		
R4	Damage to project tools	45	/4.55 ~ /5	Low		
R5	Labor is absent	36		Low		
R6	Labor accident	54		Low		
Paving E	Block Leveling Work With a Baby Roller					
R1	Bad weather	140		High		
R2	Material prices have risen	20		Low		
R3	Material delivery delays	12	$45.67 \approx 46$	Low		
R4	Damage to project tools	48		High		
R5	Labor is absent	45	-	Low		

R6	Labor accident	9	Low

From Table 4, it can be seen that there are 2 risk categories, namely High and Low, which will be used by the person in charge of the project to find out which risks must be addressed first.

#### 3.5 Risk Handling

Table 4 can be used as a reference for the person in charge of the project in handling risks that arise in the project. The risk management in the project in this study can be seen in table 5

Table 5. Risk Handling Scenario								
Risk	Bisks	RPN	Risk	Disk Handling Action				
Code	RISKS	Value	Category	Risk Handling Action				
Land Leveling and Compaction Land Work With Tamping Rammer								
R1	Bad weather	175	High	Increase worked hours (overtime)				
R2	Material prices have risen	40	Law	Agreement with suppliers regarding the				
		40	Low	prices of materials				
R3	Material delivery delays	60	Low	Communicating with suppliers of materials				
R4	Damage to project tools	144	Uich	Immediately replace damaged equipment and				
		144	riigii	increase supervision of work equipment				
R5	Labor is absent	42	Low	Replacing with other workers				
R6	Labor accident	42	Low	Directing the workforce to prioritize safety				
Paving	Alignment Work with Ash Stone and Paving	Block Ins	tallation					
R1	Bad weather	175	High	Increase worked hours (overtime)				
R2	Material prices have risen	70	Law	Agreement with suppliers regarding the				
		12	Low	prices of materials				
R3	Material delivery delays	48	Low	Communicating with suppliers of materials				
R4	Damage to project tools	120	High	Immediately replace damaged equipment and				
		120	пign	increase supervision of work equipment				
R5	Labor is absent	48	Low	Replacing with other workers				
R6	Labor accident	42	Low	Directing the workforce to prioritize safety				
Casting	Filler Work							
R1	Bad weather	175	High	Increase worked hours (overtime)				
R2	Material prices have risen	72	Low	Agreement with suppliers regarding the				
		12	Low	prices of materials				
R3	Material delivery delays	64	Low	Communicating with suppliers of materials				
R4	Damage to project tools	45	Low	Immediately replace damaged equipment and				
		43	LOW	increase supervision of work equipment				
R5	Labor is absent	36	Low	Replacing with other workers				
R6	Labor accident	54	Low	Directing the workforce to prioritize safety				
Paving	Block Leveling Work With a Baby Roller							
R1	Bad weather	140	High	Increase worked hours (overtime)				
R2	Material prices have risen	20	Low	Agreement with suppliers regarding the				
	- 20 Low	LOW	prices of materials					
R3	Material delivery delays	12	Low	Communicating with suppliers of materials				
R4	Damage to project tools	18	High	Immediately replace damaged equipment and				
		48	гıgn	increase supervision of work equipment				
R5	Labor is absent	45	Low	Replacing with other workers				
R6	Labor accident	9	Low	Directing the workforce to prioritize safety				

#### 4. Conclusion

The conclusion of this research is that FMEA can be used to help the project person in charge, to determine the risks, risk categories and treatment measures so that if risks arise in the project, they can be overcome immediately and the project can be completed on time.

#### References

Dikomentari [A3]: Tambah 2 paragraf lagi yang membahas diskusi, serta menambahkan perbandingan dengan referensi lain.

- Atin, S., Lubis, R., 2019, November. "Implementation Of Critical Path Method In Project Planning And scheduling". In *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*. 662 022031, IOP Publishing.
- [2] Sufa'atin, 2017, Juni. "Implementasi Probability Impact Matriks (PIM) Untuk Mengidentifikasi Kemungkinan Dan Dampak Risiko Proyek". Ultima InfoSys – Vol VIII, No.1 (2017).
- [3] Aven, T., & Renn, O. (2009). On risk defined as an event where the outcome is uncertain. Journal of Risk Research, 1-11
- [4] Qazi, A. (2016). Project Complexity and risk Management (ProCRiM) : Toward modelling project complexity driven risk paths in construction projects. International Journal of Project Management, 1183 - 1198
- [5] Teng, S, H, Ho, S, Y. 1996. Failure mode and effects analysis: An integrated approach for product design and process control. International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management. 13(5):8-26
- [6] L., S. Lipol, "Risk Analysis Method : FMEA in the Organizations", International Journal of Basic & Applied Science IJBAS, Vol.XI, no.5 pp. 49-57, 2011.
- [7] Teng, S, H, Ho, S, Y. 1996. Failure mode and effects analysis : An integrated approach for product design and process control. International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management. 13(5):8-26.
- [8] E Sari, "Analisis Resiko Proyek Pada Pekerjaan Jembatan Sidomukti-Kadu Di Majalengka Dengan Metode FMEA dan Decision Tree", Jurnal J-Ensitec: Vol 03|No.01, November 2016.
- [9] Santoso, S. 2007. Seri solusi Bisnis TI: total Quality Management (TQM) dan Six Sigma. Elex Media Komputindo, Jakarta.
- [10] Ahsen, A, V. 2008. Cost-Oriented failure mode and effects analysis. International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management. 25(5):466-476.
- [9] F.L, Whitney. 1960. The Elements of Resert. Asian Eds. Osaka : Overseas Book Co.
- [10] Carbone, T.A., & Tippett, D.D. (2004). Project Risk Management Using the Project Risk FMEA. Engineering Management Journal, 28-35.
- [11] MC Dermott, R.E. Mikulak, R.J. dan Beuregard, M.R. The Basic of FMEA, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed, Newyork, Taylor and Francis Group, 2009.

## **Final Artikel**

## **Implementation of the Failure Mode And Effects Analysis** (FMEA) Method to Determine Project Risk Priority

#### S Atin<sup>1</sup>, R Lubis<sup>2</sup>

1,2, Program Studi Teknik Informatika, Universitas Komputer Indonesia, Indonesia

E-mail: sufaatin@email.unikom.ac.id

**Abstact.** The purpose of this research is to determine the priorities of risks that might occur so that the implementation of project work can run smoothly so that later it can be used as a basis for making decisions in handling the project being worked on. One method that can be used to determine the priority of risks that arise in a project is the Failure Mode and Effects Analysis (FMEA). FMEA is one of the methods used to prevent project failures caused by project risks that arise. The results of this study are FMEA can be used to handle and determine the priority of risks that arise in the project and be used by the person in charge of the project in making decisions in handling risks that arise in the project. By using FMEA project risks can be prevented and completed so that the project can be completed on time.

#### 1. Introduction

The project is a combination of labor (human), material, equipment, facilities, and supporting services (capital / cost) in a temporary organization that is used to achieve the goals and objectives that have been determined [1]. Project risk is the cumulative effect of the chance of an uncertain event that affects project goals and objectives that can result in late completion of the project [2]. Risk refers to the uncertainty and severity of events and results of an activity in connection with something that humans value [3]. Identification of risks in the project will be divided into several project risks [4]. To identify and take action on the project risks that arise can use several methods, one of the methods used is Failure Mode and Effects Analysis (FMEA) [5]. FMEA is a structured method that can be used to identify, prioritize failure modes and then prevent them as much as possible and be able to trace the sources of the causes of failure [6]. FMEA is an analytical technique that combines technology and experience in identifying the failure of the production process and planning to prevent it from happening again [7]. FMEA was originally created by Aerospace Industry in the 1960s. FMEA began to be used by Ford in the 1980s, AIAG (Automotive Industry Action Group) and the American Society for Quality Control

Dikomentari [A1]: tambah 1 kalimat metode, 2 kalimat hasil yang didapat, tambah 1 kalimat diskusi, tambah 1 kalimat kesimpulan. (Done).

(ASQC) set it as a standard in 1993. Currently FMEA is one of the core tools in ISO / TS 16949: 2022 (Technical Specifications for Automotive Industry) [8]. Previous studies that used FMEA were research conducted by Teng and Ho in 1996 [7], Santoso in 2007 [9] and Ahsen in 2008 [10] FMEA was used to identify failures in the production process that resulted in risks that appeared to be proven failures the production process does not repeat itself.

The purpose of this research is to apply the FMEA method to find out the risks that arise in the project and to determine which risk priorities must be addressed first so that the risks that arise in the project can be immediately addressed and the project can be completed on time.

#### 2. Method

The research methodology in this study uses descriptive methods. Descriptive method is a method used to find facts with the right interpretation and try to describe a symptom, events that occur at the moment or an actual problem [11]. The research methodology in this study begins with a review of the FMEA literature study together with secondary data collection. The next step is to identify risks, followed by calculating the severity, occurance and detection. After that, calculating the value of the Risk Priority Number (RPN) is continued to calculate the critical value and the last is managing risks that are used to assist the Project Responsible Person in identifying project risks at the beginning of the project implementation so that if these risks arise when project implementation can be dealt with quickly [12].

#### 3. Results and Discussion

#### 3.1 Secondary Data Collection

The data used in this research is the installation of paving in the Mandala project. In this project, the project implementation time is 200 days starting from December 27, 2018 until July 15, 2019. There are five steps in determining risk priorities using FMEA [12]. The step starts from identifying risks, then continues with calculating the severity, occurance and detection. After that, calculate the value of the Risk Priority Number (RPN) and proceed to calculate the critical value and the last is risk management.

#### 3.2 Project Risk Identification

Risk identification process aims to create a list of risks that have occurred in the previous project work and that will probably occur in the next projects. This risk identification process is carried out using an interview approach with the person in charge of the project. The identification of risks in this study can be seen in table 1.

Table 1. Identification of Project Risk						
No.	Name of Activities	Risk Code	Obstacle			
		R1	Bad weather			
		R2	Material prices have risen			
1	Land Leveling and Compaction Land Work	R3	Material delivery delays			
1.	With Tamping Rammer	R4	Damage to project tools			
		R5	Labor is absent			
		R6	Labor accident			
		R1	Bad weather			
	Paving Alignment Work with Ash Stone and Paving Block Installation	R2	Material prices have risen			
2		R3	Material delivery delays			
2.		R4	Damage to project tools			
		R5	Labor is absent			
		R6	Labor accident			
		R1	Bad weather			
		R2	Material prices have risen			
3	Casting filler work	R3	Material delivery delays			
5.	Casting filler work	R4	Damage to project tools			
		R5	Labor is absent			
		R6	Labor accident			
4	Paving Block Leveling Work	R1	Bad weather			
4.	With a Baby Roller	R2	Material prices have risen			

R3	Material delivery delays
R4	Damage to project tools
R5	Labor is absent
R6	Labor accident

3.3 Determining the Severity Value, Occurance Value and Detection Value Determine the severity, occurance and detection values based on the identification of risks that have been carried out in the previous stage. The severity, occurance and detection values were obtained from interviews with the project managers and can be seen in table 2.

Table 2. Value of Severity, Event and Detection						
Risk	Risks	Severity	Occurance	Detection		
Code		Value	Value	Value		
Land Le	eveling and Compaction Land Work With Tamping Rammer					
R1	Bad weather	5	7	5		
R2	Material prices have risen	2	5	4		
R3	Material delivery delays	3	4	5		
R4	Damage to project tools	6	4	6		
R5	Labor is absent	3	2	7		
R6	Labor accident	3	2	7		
Paving A	Alignment Work with Ash Stone and Paving Block Installation					
R1	Bad weather	5	7	5		
R2	Material prices have risen	3	6	4		
R3	Material delivery delays	4	4	3		
R4	Damage to project tools	6	4	5		
R5	Labor is absent	4	2	6		
R6	Labor accident	3	2	7		
Casting	Filler Work					
R1	Bad weather	5	7	5		
R2	Material prices have risen	3	6	4		
R3	Material delivery delays	4	4	4		
R4	Damage to project tools	3	3	5		
R5	Labor is absent	3	4	3		
R6	Labor accident	3	3	6		
Paving Block Leveling Work With a Baby Roller						
R1	Bad weather	4	7	5		
R2	Material prices have risen	1	5	4		
R3	Material delivery delays	1	3	4		
R4	Damage to project tools	4	3	4		
R5	Labor is absent	3	5	3		
R6	Labor accident	1	3	3		

3.4 Determine the Value of The Risk Priority Number (RPN)

The next step is to calculate the risk priority number (RPN) is an overall danger score calculated by multiplying Severity, Occurance, and Detection. Higher RPNs are design priorities. [12].

RPN = Severity Value X Occurance Value X Detection Value ......(1) The results of the calculation of the RPN value can be seen in the following table 3.

Table 3. Hasil Perhitungan Nilai RPN						
Risk	Risks Severity Occurance Detection RPN					
Code		Value	Value	Value	Value	
Land Le	veling and Compaction Land Work With Tamping Rammer	•				
R1	Bad weather	5	7	5	175	
R2	Material prices have risen	2	5	4	40	
R3	Material delivery delays	3	4	5	60	
R4	Damage to project tools	6	4	6	144	
R5	Labor is absent	3	2	7	42	
R6	Labor accident	3	2	7	42	
Paving Alignment Work with Ash Stone and Paving Block Installation						
R1	Bad weather	5	7	5	175	
R2	Material prices have risen	3	6	4	72	

R3	Material delivery delays	4	4	3	48
R4	Damage to project tools	6	4	5	120
R5	Labor is absent	4	2	6	48
R6	Labor accident	3	2	7	42
Casting	Filler Work				
R1 0	Bad weather	5	7	5	175
R2	Material prices have risen	3	6	4	72
R3	Material delivery delays	4	4	4	64
R4	Damage to project tools	3	3	5	45
R5	Labor is absent	3	4	3	36
R6	Labor accident	3	3	6	54
Paving H	Block Leveling Work With a Baby Roller				
R1	Bad weather	4	7	5	140
R2	Material prices have risen	1	5	4	20
R3	Material delivery delays	1	3	4	12
R4	Damage to project tools	4	3	4	48
R5	Labor is absent	3	5	3	45
R6	Labor accident	1	3	3	9

#### 3.5 Determine Critical Value

After getting the RPN value for each risk, the next step is to calculate the Critical Value. This critical value is used to determine what risks are included in the high risk category. Risks included in the high category is a risk that has an RPN value greater or equal to the critical value (RPN value kritis critical value). Critical values are calculated using the formula: Total RPN / Total risk [13]. Calculation of critical values in this study can be seen in table 4.

Table 4. Critical Value and Risk Categories					
Risk	Diele	RPN	Critical	Bisk Catagomy	
Code	KISKS	Value	Value	Kisk Category	
Land Lev	veling and Compaction Land Work With Tamping l	Rammer			
R1	Bad weather	175		High	
R2	Material prices have risen	40		Low	
R3	Material delivery delays	60	02 02 ~ 01	Low	
R4	Damage to project tools	144	63.63 ~ 64	High	
R5	Labor is absent	42		Low	
R6	Labor accident	42		Low	
Paving A	Alignment Work with Ash Stone and Paving Block I	nstallation			
R1	Bad weather	175		High	
R2	Material prices have risen	72		Low	
R3	Material delivery delays	48	$94.17 \sim 95$	Low	
R4	Damage to project tools	120	64.17~65	High	
R5	Labor is absent	48		Low	
R6	Labor accident	42		Low	
Casting l	Filler Work				
R1	Bad weather	175		High	
R2	Material prices have risen	72		Low	
R3	Material delivery delays	64	$71.22 \sim 75$	Low	
R4	Damage to project tools	45	/4.33 ~ /3	Low	
R5	Labor is absent	36		Low	
R6	Labor accident	54		Low	
Paving E	Block Leveling Work With a Baby Roller				
R1	Bad weather	140		High	
R2	Material prices have risen	20		Low	
R3	Material delivery delays	12	15 67 ~ 16	Low	
R4	Damage to project tools	48	$-10.07 \sim 10.07$	High	
R5	Labor is absent	45		Low	
R6	Labor accident	9		Low	

From Table 4, it can be seen that there are 2 risk categories, namely High and Low, which will be used by the person in charge of the project to find out which risks must be addressed first.

#### 3.5 Risk Handling

Table 4 can be used as a reference for the person in charge of the project in handling risks that arise in the project. The risk management in the project in this study can be seen in table 5

From the risk categories obtained in table 4, the person in charge of the project can see which risks have a major impact on the project and which risks have a small impact on the sustainability of the project and the person in charge of the project can see risk priorities from R1 to R6 which risks will be resolved first if they occur risk at the same time so that the project can be completed on time.

In addition, the person in charge of the project can also make the handling of risks that arise, while the risk management in this study can be seen in table 5.

Table 5. Risk Handling Scenario						
Risk	D'sla	RPN	Risk	Disk Harry Warry Antion		
Code	Risks	Value	Category	Risk Handling Action		
Land Le	eveling and Compaction Land Work With Ta	mmer				
R1	Bad weather	175	High	Increase worked hours (overtime)		
R2	Material prices have risen	40	Low	Agreement with suppliers regarding the prices of materials		
R3	Material delivery delays	60	Low	Communicating with suppliers of materials		
R4	Damage to project tools	144	High	Immediately replace damaged equipment and increase supervision of work equipment		
R5	Labor is absent	42	Low	Replacing with other workers		
R6	Labor accident	42	Low	Directing the workforce to prioritize safety		
Paving A	Alignment Work with Ash Stone and Paving	Block Ins	tallation	с		
R1	Bad weather	175	High	Increase worked hours (overtime)		
R2	Material prices have risen	72	Low	Agreement with suppliers regarding the prices of materials		
R3	Material delivery delays	48	Low	Communicating with suppliers of materials		
R4	Damage to project tools	120	Uiah	Immediately replace damaged equipment and		
		120	пign	increase supervision of work equipment		
R5	Labor is absent	48	Low	Replacing with other workers		
R6	Labor accident	42	Low	Directing the workforce to prioritize safety		
Casting	Filler Work					
R1	Bad weather	175	High	Increase worked hours (overtime)		
R2	Material prices have risen	72	Low	Agreement with suppliers regarding the prices of materials		
R3	Material delivery delays	64	Low	Communicating with suppliers of materials		
R4	Damage to project tools	45	Low	Immediately replace damaged equipment and increase supervision of work equipment		
R5	Labor is absent	36	Low	Replacing with other workers		
R6	Labor accident	54	Low	Directing the workforce to prioritize safety		
Paving	Block Leveling Work With a Baby Roller			5 1 5		
R1 0	Bad weather	140	High	Increase worked hours (overtime)		
R2	Material prices have risen	20	Low	Agreement with suppliers regarding the prices of materials		
R3	Material delivery delays	12	Low	Communicating with suppliers of materials		
R4	Damage to project tools	40	TT. 1	Immediately replace damaged equipment and		
	5 1 5	48	High	increase supervision of work equipment		
R5	Labor is absent	45	Low	Replacing with other workers		
R6	Labor accident	9	Low	Directing the workforce to prioritize safety		

In this study it can be concluded that the use of FMEA in project implementation can not only be used to identify project failures caused by emerging risks developed by Teng and Ho in 1996 [7] but can also be used to choose which risk priorities must be resolved first if there are several risks that arise simultaneously and can also be used to deal with risks that arise so that project failure can be minimized and the project can be completed on time.

#### 4. Conclusion

Dikomentari [A2]: Tambah 2 paragraf lagi yang membahas diskusi, serta menambahkan perbandingan dengan referensi lain. (done) The conclusion of this research is that FMEA can be used to help the project person in charge, to determine the risks, risk categories and treatment measures so that if risks arise in the project, they can be overcome immediately and the project can be completed on time.

References

- Atin, S., Lubis, R., 2019, November. "Implementation Of Critical Path Method In Project Planning And scheduling". In *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*. 662 022031, IOP Publishing.
- [2] Sufa'atin, 2017, Juni. "Implementasi Probability Impact Matriks (PIM) Untuk Mengidentifikasi Kemungkinan Dan Dampak Risiko Proyek". Ultima InfoSys – Vol VIII, No.1 (2017).
- [3] Aven, T., & Renn, O. (2009). On risk defined as an event where the outcome is uncertain. Journal of Risk Research, 1-11
- [4] Qazi, A. (2016). Project Complexity and risk Management (ProCRiM) : Toward modelling project complexity driven risk paths in construction projects. International Journal of Project Management, 1183 - 1198
- [5] Teng, S, H, Ho, S, Y. 1996. Failure mode and effects analysis: An integrated approach for product design and process control. International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management. 13(5):8-26
- [6] L., S. Lipol, "Risk Analysis Method : FMEA in the Organizations", International Journal of Basic & Applied Science IJBAS, Vol.XI, no.5 pp. 49-57, 2011.
- [7] Teng, S, H, Ho, S, Y. 1996. Failure mode and effects analysis : An integrated approach for product design and process control. International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management. 13(5):8-26.
- [8] E Sari, "Analisis Resiko Proyek Pada Pekerjaan Jembatan Sidomukti-Kadu Di Majalengka Dengan Metode FMEA dan Decision Tree", Jurnal J-Ensitec: Vol 03|No.01, November 2016.
- [9] Santoso, S. 2007. Seri solusi Bisnis TI: total Quality Management (TQM) dan Six Sigma. Elex Media Komputindo, Jakarta.
- [10] Ahsen, A, V. 2008. Cost-Oriented failure mode and effects analysis. International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management. 25(5):466-476.
- [9] F.L, Whitney. 1960. The Elements of Resert. Asian Eds. Osaka : Overseas Book Co.
- [10] Carbone, T.A., & Tippett, D.D. (2004). Project Risk Management Using the Project Risk FMEA. Engineering Management Journal, 28-35.
- [11] MC Dermott, R.E. Mikulak, R.J. dan Beuregard, M.R. The Basic of FMEA, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed, Newyork, Taylor and Francis Group, 2009.



# Letter of Acceptance

Paper No. : ABS-26
Paper Title : IMPLEMENTATION OF FAILURE MODE AND EFFECTS ANALYSIS (FMEA) METHOD FOR DETERMINING PROJECT RISK PRIORITY
Authors : Sufa Atin, Riani Lubis
Affiliation : Universitas Komputer Indonesia

## Dear Authors,

I am pleased to inform you that the paper you kindly submitted to the 3rd International Conference on Informatics, Engineering, Science, and Technology (INCITEST 2020) has now been accepted and the first author is invited to present the paper in the conference. Your interest in INCITEST 2020 is very much appreciated. I look forward to meeting you at the conference.

Bandung, April 2020



Dr. Poni Sukaesih Kurniati, S.IP, M.Si. Chief of The Conference

Organized by :



Online Conference The 3<sup>®</sup> International Conference on Informatics Engineering, Science & Technology



Published & Indexed by :

Scopu





# CERTIFICATE

No: 037/UNIKOM/INCITEST/VI/2020

This certificate is awarded to

Sufa Atin

as

Presenter

With The Paper Entitled

IMPLEMENTATION OF FAILURE MODE AND EFFECTS ANALYSIS(FMEA) METHOD FOR DETERMINING PROJECT RISK PRIORITY

In The 3<sup>rd</sup> International Conference on Informatics Engineering, Science & Technology (INCITEST) o held in Universitas Komputer Indonesia, Bandung, West Java, Indonesia, on June 11<sup>th</sup>, 2020. The online conference is organized by Universitas Komputer Indonesia (UNIKOM)

> Dr. Ir. Lia Warlina, M.Si UNIKOM Conference Chair